

Talking Points

Senate Bill 288 (S-3): D-Insurance Proposal

(Updated: June 2015)

Background

On June 3, the Senate Insurance Committee approved a substitute for <u>Senate Bill (SB) 288</u>, legislation that outlines low-coverage auto insurance for residents of Detroit and any additional urban centers where more than 35 percent of the residents do not have auto insurance on their vehicles. The measure – commonly referred to as D-Insurance – is heavily supported by Detroit Mayor Mike Duggan as an attempt to address the high cost of auto insurance in Detroit.

Talking Points

- Michigan hospitals are united around a single goal: to provide the safest, highest quality care to
 every patient who comes through our doors. With that in mind, we're puzzled about how
 D-Insurance will work and concerned that Detroiters and others who survive catastrophic auto
 accidents would face severely limited care options under the plan outlined in SB 288.
- At \$150 per car, the MCCA currently provides all necessary benefits for catastrophically injured children and adults. SB 288 has the potential to pull thousands of drivers in Detroit and other large cities across Michigan out of the Michigan Catastrophic Claims Association. This would result in higher MCCA annual assessments for all other drivers.
- SB 288 would not only limit a Detroit driver's benefit it would impact their children or other
 family members who are injured in the same vehicle. This coverage is not per person coverage.
 For example, a family of four with D-Insurance who are simultaneously injured in an accident
 would be limited to \$250,000 in acute-care benefits for that accident, and just \$25,000 for postacute needs.
- SB 288 would likely result in more individuals enrolling in a taxpayer-funded Medicaid system
 to get the care they need after they exhaust their limited medical benefit offered by DInsurance
- Further, SB 288 would not only lead to increased auto insurance and Medicaid costs for ALL drivers it could result in health insurers increasing their premiums to prepare for the risk of lawsuits to cover massive costs for auto accident victims once those victims' D-Insurance benefits are exhausted.
- We understand and respect the Mayor's commitment to reducing the cost of auto insurance in Detroit, but we do not believe that limited medical care to people who suffer catastrophic injuries in auto accidents is a sensible path to lower auto insurance rates in Detroit or anywhere else in Michigan.
- The consumer savings piece is not clear. There is no evidence that more people of limited financial means will become insured under this plan, nor does the plan guarantee that insurance rates for Detroiters and others will go down and that rates won't rise again.
- Ultimately, from the perspective of Michigan hospitals, Michigan's no-fault law is about caring
 for patients. We do not want to jeopardize accident victims' access to needed medical care,
 rehabilitation services and post-injury assisted living services based simply on a person's
 address.

Additional Discussion Points

- Michigan's no-fault law ensures that auto accident victims receive the care and rehabilitation they
 need following catastrophic auto accidents. The law has been in place since 1973 and, without it,
 most auto accident victims would not be able to afford the care they need.
- Both the frequency and severity of insurance claims related to auto accidents are higher in
 Detroit than elsewhere in the state. Hospital prices do not vary by the geographic location of a
 patient's insurance policy, so something other than hospitalization is causing differentials in
 Detroit that lead to higher rates. Rather than attack the benefits for injured people, we should
 attack over use, inappropriate care and fraudulent claims.
- The MHA has suggested to lawmakers and the Snyder administration that work be done to
 establish best care practices for auto accident survivors. The MHA has also supported various
 legislative proposal to implement stronger fraud efforts through the creation of an anti-fraud
 bureau. Together, these efforts could reveal and help end the over use of medical services
 within the city of Detroit.
- SB 288 eviscerates insured benefits for the seriously injured. It does not provide a catastrophic benefit and caps the personal injury protection benefit at \$250,000 for critical care only.
- Once the D Insurance cap is met, all other costs COULD be borne by an individual's health
 insurance plan, if available. Even if a health plan is covering the individual, a health benefit does
 not cover many of the catastrophic claims covered by auto no-fault insurance, including
 replacement cost services, home and vehicle modification, or in-home services for activities
 associated with daily living, such as preparing meals or getting to work or school.
- Even if the average price of a required plan is reduced to \$1,800 a year, a person working 30 hours a week and making \$10 per hour (\$15,600 per year) would still pay more than 10 percent of his/her annual salary for auto insurance coverage.
- The MHA and its member hospitals have always been open to participating in the legislative process and discussing meaningful reform that maintains victims' access to care following a catastrophic auto accident. Since 2011, the MHA has continuously offered to negotiate on nofault reform efforts, including being willing to lower and freeze hospital reimbursement rates from auto insurers. Those efforts have been categorically rejected by the auto insurers pushing no-fault reform.
- The MHA, along with the Coalition to Protect Auto No-fault (CPAN), of which the MHA is a
 member, is 100 percent against fraud and would like to see stronger anti-fraud measures
 enacted to help protect consumers and cut down on the costs associated with these crimes.

Members with questions about these talking points should contact <u>Laura Appel</u>, senior vice president, Strategic Initiatives, at the MHA at (517) 703-8601.